Community Highway Schemes 2018 - Rejected September 2018 - Appendix B

Applicant	Parish	Local Member	Scheme Name	Description	Approx. Cost	CLC	Comments
Community Group	Steyning	David Barling	Church Street Steyning pedestrian crossing facilities	Provision of crossing facilities for children	£55,000.00	Chanctonbury	Community S threshold for done with Lo required and
Parish/ Town Council	Upper Beeding	David Barling	A2037 Henfield Road and Shoreham Road - Speed Reduction Measures	Provide speed reduction measures such as Vehicle Activated Signs to slow traffic	£25,000.00	Chanctonbury	Community S threshold for to be monito speed reduci
Community Group	Storrington	Paul A Marshall	Fern Road and adjoining roads traffic calming & traffic management	Provide measures to reduce traffic flow and speeds	£60,000.00	Chanctonbury	Community S threshold for
Parish/ Town Council	Ashington	Paul A Marshall	Hole Street Footway provision	New footway to link to existing under the A24	£200,000.00	Chanctonbury	Community S threshold for
Parish/ Town Council	Upper Beeding	David Barling	A283 Steyning Road improved footway	Widen / realign footway and provide ped crossing facilities.	£120,000.00	Chanctonbury	To be consi
Parish/ Town Council	Woodmancote	David Barling	Woodmancote - provision of poles for erection of PC funded SID	Provide 4 poles for the PC to erect their SID	£1,000.00	Chanctonbury	Not consider
Parish/ Town Council	Wiston	Paul A Marshall	Hole Street Wiston request for a 30mph speed limit and traffic calming	No specific measures identified.	£20,000.00	Chanctonbury	Community S threshold for
Parish/ Town Council	Thakeham	Pat Arculus	B2139 Picketty Corner Thakeham junction improvement	Request to improve sightlines or slow approach speeds	£60,000.00	Chanctonbury	Community S threshold for
Individual	Pulborough	Pat Arculus	A283 Lower Street footway improvements	Widen footway and control traffic movement	£200,000.00	Chanctonbury	Cost of sche schemes

y Scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria for progression under this process.. Further work should be Local Transport Improvement Team.to establish what is nd identified in the School Travel Plan

cy Scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria for progression under this process. .Compliance of speed limit nitored, if necessary a new application should be made for ucing measures

y Scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria for progression under this process.

y Scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria for progression under this process.

nsidered as an LTIP scheme

ered suitable for progression under this process

y Scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria for progression under this process. .

y Scheme has been reviewed and it did not meet criteria for progression under this process.

heme likely to fall outside the scope of Community Highway